FACING ADDICTION WITH HOPE AND UNDERSTANDING

Before the First Drink: What Adverse Childhood Experiences Reveal About Addiction — and Why Families Must Choose Understanding Over Judgment

FAHU Research Desk

November 2026

facingaddictionwithhope.com

All content grounded in peer-reviewed research and clinical evidence.

Before the First Drink: What Adverse Childhood Experiences Reveal About Addiction — and Why Families Must Choose Understanding Over Judgment

INTRODUCTION: A QUESTION FAMILIES ASK TOO LATE

When addiction arrives in a family, it rarely announces what preceded it. What families see is the behavior: the lies, the disappearances, the broken promises, the slow erosion of the person they thought they knew. What they do not see — what is often invisible even to the person struggling — is what came before. What happened in the years when the brain was still forming, when attachment was being established or disrupted, when a child was learning, in the most fundamental physiological sense, whether the world was safe.

A 2026 study published in *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health* puts a clinical name to what families often sense but cannot articulate: Adverse Childhood Experiences, or ACEs. Defined as traumatic events occurring before the age of 18, ACEs "may undermine a child's sense of safety, stability and attachment" and carry "lifelong consequences, including an elevated risk of developing psychological disorders and an increased likelihood of engaging in delinquent or violent behaviour later in life" (Beeck 2026). The study examines ACEs within a forensic psychiatric cohort — individuals at the severe end of the spectrum, where mental illness and criminal involvement intersect — but the mechanisms it describes operate across a far wider population. Understanding them is essential for any family trying to make sense of why addiction happened, and what it will take for healing to occur.

THE ARCHITECTURE OF EARLY DAMAGE

ACEs are not unusual. They include physical, sexual, and emotional abuse; neglect in its many forms; and household dysfunction such as parental substance use, domestic violence, caregiver mental illness, incarceration of a family member, and divorce. Their power lies not in any single incident but in their cumulative disruption of what developing children need most: consistency, safety, and attuned connection with caregivers.

What Beeck's research underscores is that the forensic psychiatric population — people who have cycled through hospitals, courts, jails, and crisis services — is populated disproportionately by individuals with heavy ACE histories. Clinical practice has long suggested this pattern, the researchers note, yet "scientific research on ACEs within this population remains limited" (Beeck 2026). This gap is itself meaningful. The people society has most thoroughly failed — those whose suffering has become most criminalized, most institutionalized, most misunderstood — are often precisely those whose earliest years were most chaotic and most harmful.

The forensic psychiatric patient is, in many respects, the endpoint of a trajectory that begins in childhood. Understanding that trajectory does not mean excusing behavior that harms others. It means recognizing that the path from traumatized child to adult in crisis rarely involves a single catastrophic choice. It involves years of adaptation — a nervous system learning to survive a dangerous environment — followed by years of consequences for those adaptations in a world that no longer requires them.

For families of people with addiction, this is the essential insight: the person in front of you, whose behavior may feel incomprehensible or monstrous, likely began as a child who was trying to cope with something genuinely difficult. Substances often enter that story not as the cause of the problem but as a response to it — a discovered mechanism for managing pain that had no other outlet.

ENVIRONMENT AS ORIGIN: THE EXPANDING PICTURE OF VULNERABILITY

The ACE framework is primarily relational — it focuses on what happens between children and the human beings around them. But a parallel body of research is broadening our understanding of how physical environments shape neurodevelopmental risk from the earliest stages of life.

A 2026 population-based study published in the *Journal of Hazardous Materials* investigated the joint effects of heavy metals and chlorinated paraffins — pervasive industrial contaminants — on attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms in children and adolescents. Drawing on data from 122,965 participants under age 18 in China's Pearl River Delta region, the researchers measured concentrations of six heavy metals (lead, arsenic, cadmium, mercury, manganese, and nickel) alongside chlorinated paraffin exposures, finding associations between these combined environmental burdens and ADHD symptoms in youth (Zhang et al. 2026).

The significance for families is this: neurodevelopmental vulnerability — the kind that shapes how a young person regulates attention, impulse, and emotion — is partly built by forces that have nothing to do with choice, moral character, or parenting quality. Children growing up near industrial zones, in older housing with lead paint, near agricultural runoff, breathe and absorb contaminants that may quietly alter the developing brain. These are not exceptional circumstances; they are the ordinary reality of millions of families, concentrated disproportionately among those with the fewest economic resources to choose otherwise.

When we place these two bodies of research side by side — ACEs and environmental neurotoxic exposure — we begin to see a more complete picture of why vulnerability to addiction, to psychological disorder, to the kinds of behavioral crises that tear families apart, is not distributed

randomly. It accumulates in the bodies and nervous systems of children who were already carrying the most.

WHAT FORENSIC PSYCHIATRY TEACHES FAMILIES

The forensic psychiatric cohort that Beeck's research examines can feel remote from the experience of a family trying to help a loved one with addiction. These are, after all, people who have been hospitalized and incarcerated, whose struggles have intersected with the criminal justice system in severe and visible ways. Most families are dealing with something quieter, if no less painful.

But the forensic population offers a lesson that scales: this is what unaddressed trauma looks like when no intervention comes, when the ACE burden is high and the support is absent, when a person moves from childhood disruption through adolescent crisis and into an adult life shaped entirely by survival adaptations that the surrounding world increasingly punishes rather than treats.

Every family navigating addiction is, in some sense, living in the space between that worst-case outcome and a better one. The question is not whether early trauma happened — for most people with significant addiction, it did, in some form. The question is what happens next. Whether the people around them respond with shame and confrontation, which re-enacts the conditions of the original wound, or with the kind of sustained, informed compassion that creates the conditions for genuine recovery.

This is not a call for unlimited tolerance of harmful behavior. Families need boundaries. Families need their own support. But there is a profound difference between setting a firm limit because you understand that someone's brain was shaped by early trauma and that certain dynamics are dangerous for both of you — and setting a limit (or abandoning the relationship entirely) from a place of moral judgment that frames addiction as simple selfishness or weakness.

The research suggests that the latter approach is not only less kind but less effective. Shame does not heal trauma. Confrontation does not repair disrupted attachment. Understanding — even imperfect, partial, grief-laden understanding — opens the door that judgment closes.

SYNTHESIS: SCIENCE AS AN ACT OF COMPASSION

What does it mean, practically, for a family to absorb the lessons of ACE research?

It means asking different questions. Instead of "why are they choosing this?" — which presupposes a level of free agency that neurodevelopmental and trauma research complicates — families can ask: "What happened to this person, and when? What did they learn about the world in the years before I could see it?" It means recognizing that the person with addiction may not have language for their own history. The disruption of ACEs operates partly below the level of narrative memory; people often know they had difficult childhoods but cannot fully account for how those years shaped them.

It also means that recovery — real recovery, the kind that lasts — is not simply about stopping a substance. It is about addressing what the substance was managing. This is the clinical consensus emerging from trauma-informed addiction care, and it aligns precisely with what Beeck's research shows us about the severity and persistence of ACE-related consequences. A person who developed psychological disorders as a consequence of early trauma, and who then developed addiction as a consequence of those disorders, cannot be well served by an approach that treats only the addiction.

Families who can hold this complexity — who can grieve the reality of what their loved one has carried, and bring that understanding into their own responses — become participants in a different kind of recovery. Not passive, not enabling, but genuinely engaged with the whole person rather than just the problem behavior.

CONCLUSION: HOPE AS A SCIENTIFICALLY DEFENSIBLE POSITION

There is a version of compassion that is sentimental and unsustainable — that ignores real harm in the name of understanding. That is not what this research calls for. But there is another version: clear-eyed, informed, grief-aware compassion that understands what it is looking at without being destroyed by it. That is what the science of ACEs, and the growing research on environmental neurodevelopmental risk, makes possible for families.

To face addiction with hope is not to pretend the wound isn't real. It is to recognize that wounds heal, that brains are more plastic than we once believed, that people who experienced very little safety early in life can learn to find it — and that the families who offer that safety, consistently and with clear eyes, are among the most powerful forces for recovery that exist.

The research grounds us. The humanity of the people we love calls us forward.

Works Cited

Beeck. “Adverse Childhood Experiences and Their Relationship With Psychological Disorders and Violent Criminal Behaviour Within a Forensic Psychiatric Cohort.” Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health, 2026. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/42071180/.

Zhang et al.. “Particulate matter-bound metals and chlorinated paraffins co-exposure: A population-based study on attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Chinese youth.” Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2026. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/42250293/.