FACING ADDICTION WITH HOPE AND UNDERSTANDING

# When Care Meets Crisis: The Case for Integrated, Compassionate Support for Pregnant and Parenting People with Opioid Use Disorder

FAHU Research Desk

May 2026

facingaddictionwithhope.com

All content grounded in peer-reviewed research and clinical evidence.

# When Care Meets Crisis: The Case for Integrated, Compassionate Support for Pregnant and Parenting People with Opioid Use Disorder

There is a particular kind of vulnerability that belongs to pregnant and parenting individuals navigating opioid use disorder (OUD). They carry the weight of addiction — its stigma, its chemistry, its relentless pull — while simultaneously being held to one of society's most demanding moral standards: being a good parent. The collision of these two realities inside the walls of a hospital can be either catastrophic or transformative, depending entirely on what kind of care they find there. A formative implementation study published in the *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy* offers a rare, honest look at what it actually takes to build systems that get this right — and why so many systems still get it profoundly wrong.

**The Stakes Are Higher Than We Acknowledge**

Opioid use disorder among pregnant and parenting individuals in the United States is not a niche concern or a marginal statistic. It is, as Buchanan and colleagues (2026) characterize it, "a major public health concern" — one with consequences that ripple outward from a single individual into families, neighborhoods, and generations. The children of parents with untreated OUD are among the most vulnerable people in America: at elevated risk for developmental disruption, foster care placement, poverty, and, heartbreakingly, addiction themselves. The parents, meanwhile, face not only the disease but a healthcare and legal landscape riddled with surveillance, judgment, and institutional rigidity.

For families watching a loved one struggle with addiction during or after pregnancy — whether they are partners, parents, siblings, or friends —

this landscape can feel bewildering and even hostile. Why, families often ask, does it seem so hard to get the right kind of help? Why does the system that should be helping seem to make things worse? The research offers sobering answers.

**What "Integrated Care" Actually Means — and Why It Matters**

The study by Buchanan et al. (2026) evaluates a model of integrated care introduced at an urban safety-net hospital — the kind of institution that serves communities with the highest rates of poverty, uninsurance, and addiction-related morbidity. The model was conceived as a "first step in a system change process" to provide comprehensive care to patients with perinatal OUD, recognizing that these patients "require multidisciplinary approaches" that can "challenge rigid healthcare structures, protocols, and staff beliefs."

That last phrase deserves to be read slowly. It is not only the patients who must change. It is the structures. The protocols. The beliefs of the staff themselves.

Using the PRISM (Practical, Robust Implementation and Sustainability Model) implementation framework, the research team conducted rapid qualitative analysis of interviews with 19 hospital staff members, 15 staff from local community organizations, and 5 patients. This triangulated approach — hearing from institutional insiders, community partners, and the people actually receiving care — yields a layered portrait of where integrated care succeeds and where it fractures.

What makes integrated care different from the fragmented status quo? At its core, integration means that OUD treatment, mental health services, obstetric care, and social support are not delivered in separate silos that patients must navigate independently, but woven together into a coherent whole. It means that the obstetrician and the addiction medicine specialist are talking to each other. It means that a patient's trauma history informs her treatment plan. It means that when a mother

is discharged from the hospital, she is not handed a list of phone numbers but connected to real human beings who will follow her journey.

For families, this kind of integration is not an abstraction. It is the difference between a loved one who stays connected to care and one who disappears into the gap between systems.

**The Barriers Are Structural — and They Are Human**

One of the most important contributions of the Buchanan et al. (2026) research is its unflinching attention to what stands in the way of this kind of care. The evaluation surfaces both systemic gaps — in resources, in policy, in coordination across institutions — and something harder to measure but equally consequential: staff beliefs.

This matters enormously. Addiction science has established that OUD is a chronic, relapsing brain disease with strong neurobiological underpinnings. Medication-assisted treatment with buprenorphine or methadone is highly effective and evidence-based. Yet patients with OUD — particularly pregnant patients — continue to encounter healthcare providers who view addiction through a moral rather than a medical lens. The research makes clear that effective implementation of integrated care models requires actively confronting these beliefs, not papering over them with new protocols.

For families, this insight carries both validation and hope. Validation, because if you have ever felt that your loved one was being judged rather than treated in a medical setting, you were probably right. Hope, because this is a solvable problem — not through technology or funding alone, but through deliberate cultural change within healthcare institutions.

**The Community Dimension: What Happens After Discharge**

The inclusion of 15 staff members from local organizations serving the population is one of the most revealing design choices in the study. It

signals an understanding that perinatal OUD care does not begin and end inside a hospital. Recovery — especially for parents — unfolds in housing, in relationships, in community.

The research foregrounds the importance of continuity across care settings and the critical role of community partners: recovery coaches, home visiting programs, peer support specialists, housing navigators. These are not luxuries. For a parent in early recovery, the absence of stable housing, childcare, or a person who checks in can mean the difference between sustained recovery and relapse. The urban safety-net hospital setting examined in this study serves precisely the patients who are most likely to lack these resources — and most likely to benefit from their provision.

This speaks directly to families who are supporting a loved one with OUD. One of the most painful experiences in family addiction recovery is the feeling of being the only support structure standing. When integrated community care exists, families are not alone in this work. They become part of a network rather than the entire net.

**The Patient Voice — Small in Number, Large in Significance**

With only five patient interviews, the patient voice in the Buchanan et al. (2026) study is numerically modest but symbolically significant. Including patients at all in a formative implementation evaluation is a choice — and it is the right one. Patients with perinatal OUD are not simply subjects of care systems; they are experts on what those systems feel like from the inside.

The qualitative methodology used here — rapid qualitative analysis — is designed precisely to capture this kind of experiential knowledge quickly enough to inform iterative system change. For families, this methodological commitment is a reminder that the people they love, even at their most vulnerable, have insight and agency that deserve to be heard and honored.

**What This Means for Families**

If you are reading this as a family member — a parent watching your adult child struggle with opioids through a pregnancy, a partner trying to understand what your spouse needs, a sibling afraid to say the wrong thing — here is what the research is telling you.

The system is not yet where it needs to be, but it is moving. People are studying the gaps, naming the barriers, and building something better. The integrated care model described in this research is not a perfect solution; it is, as the authors describe it, a "first step in a system change process." That phrase carries both honesty and hope.

You are right to want more for your loved one than fragmented care delivered by providers who haven't examined their own assumptions about addiction. You are right to believe that a pregnant or parenting person with OUD deserves warmth, coordination, and follow-through. The science agrees with you.

What you can do, as a family, is to seek out and advocate for care settings that have made this commitment — that offer integrated services, that train their staff in trauma-informed approaches, that partner with community organizations, and that treat the people they serve as whole human beings rather than diagnoses. And when you encounter settings that fall short, you now have language to name what is missing.

**Conclusion: The Architecture of Hope**

The Buchanan et al. (2026) study is, at its core, a study about architecture — not of buildings, but of care. What structures need to be in place for a pregnant person with OUD to have a real chance? What walls need to come down between disciplines, between institutions, between providers and patients?

The answers are neither simple nor cheap. They require dismantling rigid protocols, confronting staff bias, funding community partnerships,

and centering patient experience in system design. But the study demonstrates that this work is possible — that a safety-net hospital in an urban setting can take deliberate, evaluated steps toward something better.

For families facing addiction, this is what hope grounded in evidence looks like: not a promise that everything will be easy, but a clear-eyed commitment to building the systems that make recovery possible. The architecture of hope is built one integrated care pathway, one honest conversation, one supported parent at a time.

# Works Cited

Buchanan. "Moving toward integrated care for perinatal opioid use disorder and mental health in an urban safety-net hospital: A formative implementation evaluation." Journal of Health Services Research & Policy, 2026. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/42118774/.