FACING ADDICTION WITH HOPE AND UNDERSTANDING

# When the Science Falls Short: Evidence, Advocacy, and the Stakes of Getting Adolescent Addiction Treatment Right for Families

FAHU Research Desk

November 2026

facingaddictionwithhope.com

All content grounded in peer-reviewed research and clinical evidence.

# When the Science Falls Short: Evidence, Advocacy, and the Stakes of Getting Adolescent Addiction Treatment Right for Families

There is a particular kind of anguish that settles over a family when a teenager begins to disappear into substance use. It is not dramatic at first — it is quiet, incremental, made of missed dinners and changed passwords and a laugh that no longer sounds quite right. Parents find themselves in waiting rooms, on hold with insurance companies, scanning clinical guidelines that promise to point them toward the right door. What they may not know — what they deserve to know — is that the guidelines themselves are sometimes incomplete. And when the science behind those guidelines is missing key pieces, the families who depend on them pay the price.

A 2026 commentary published in the *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* raises precisely this concern. In a critique of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP) Clinical Practice Guideline on Adolescent Substance Use Disorders, researcher Busch identifies what appears to be a significant gap: the underrepresentation of the Cannabis Youth Treatment (CYT) trials — a landmark body of federally funded research — in the guideline's evidence base (Busch 2026). This is not a minor methodological quibble. The CYT trials represent some of the most rigorous, real-world clinical data we have on treating adolescent cannabis use disorders. Their absence from a guideline that clinicians and families rely upon raises a troubling question: are we building our systems of adolescent care on incomplete foundations?

**Why This Matters for Families**

For parents navigating the labyrinth of adolescent substance use treatment, clinical practice guidelines function as maps. They tell pediatricians what to recommend, insurance companies what to cover, and school counselors what to suggest. When those maps are missing territory — when significant evidence is simply not incorporated — families are quietly pointed away from treatments that might have helped their child.

Cannabis use among adolescents is not a marginal concern. It is among the most common substance use presentations in clinical settings for young people, and the stakes of ineffective treatment are high: educational disruption, family conflict, co-occurring mental health challenges, and — in some cases — escalation toward more dangerous substance involvement. The CYT trials, developed and funded through the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), were specifically designed to address this population, testing multiple psychosocial interventions in community-based settings. That this research was underrepresented in a major clinical guideline is, as Busch's commentary suggests, a problem that clinicians, policymakers, and advocates should take seriously (Busch 2026).

**The Danger of Knowledge Gaps: From Guidelines to Smoke-Filled Rooms**

The AACAP guideline story is part of a broader pattern: what we don't know, or fail to communicate clearly, causes harm. This is a theme that emerges from other recent research as well. A 2026 study published in the *Journal of Applied Oral Science* examined the biological effects of narghile (waterpipe) smoke on airway tissues in animal models, finding that despite widespread misconceptions, "the chemical composition of narghile smoke is comparable to that of cigarette smoke" and that its harmful effects on tissues are measurable and serious (Pilati et al. 2026). The study analyzed expression of proteins associated with cellular stress,

tumor suppression, and stem cell activity in tongue and tracheal tissues, documenting real biological disruption.

Why does waterpipe research belong in a conversation about adolescent addiction and families? Because perception gaps are killing people. Waterpipe tobacco use has increased worldwide, the researchers note, "partly due to limited awareness of its harmful effects" — in other words, young people and families are making risk calculations based on incomplete or false information (Pilati et al. 2026). The same dynamic appears in Busch's critique: when clinicians lack access to the best available evidence, they make treatment recommendations based on incomplete information. The mechanism is different; the consequence is the same.

**Schools, Systems, and the Front Lines of Early Intervention**

If families cannot always trust that clinical guidelines are complete, and if cultural misconceptions about substances continue to spread, where does that leave parents trying to protect their children? Increasingly, the answer involves schools — and a growing recognition that educational institutions are positioned to be powerful early intervention sites.

A 2026 report from The Pew Charitable Trusts makes this case directly, arguing that schools can and should play a central role in addressing youth mental health and substance use (Pew Charitable Trusts 2026). This framing reflects a shift in how we think about prevention and early intervention: rather than waiting for young people to reach crisis levels and enter clinical systems, the goal is to embed support into the environments where adolescents spend most of their time. Schools can train staff to recognize early warning signs, provide access to counselors, facilitate referrals, and reduce stigma through education.

For families, this represents both hope and a call to advocacy. A parent who might not know to ask about the CYT trials, or who might not understand the difference between evidence-based and non-evidence-

based treatment, can nonetheless advocate for a school counselor to be trained, for a prevention program to be funded, for their child to be seen rather than punished. The family does not need to become a clinical expert. They need systems — schools, healthcare providers, community organizations — to be doing their jobs with the best available evidence.

**The Hidden Toll on Families: Stress, Shared Suffering, and What Co-Occurrence Teaches Us**

There is another dimension of this conversation that rarely appears in clinical guidelines: what addiction does to the people living alongside it. A 2026 study published in *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology* introduces what researchers call a "new phenomenon" — the co-occurrence of fibromyalgia in spouses (Ye et al. 2026). While this study focuses on a rheumatological condition rather than addiction specifically, its implications for understanding family systems are profound.

Fibromyalgia is a condition strongly associated with chronic stress, trauma, and nervous system dysregulation. The finding that spouses can develop co-occurring fibromyalgia points toward something that family therapists and addiction researchers have long argued: that chronic stress, including the stress of living with a loved one's addiction, is not merely psychological — it is physiological. It reorganizes the nervous system. It manifests in the body.

Families of people with addiction disorders carry burdens that do not always show up in clinical waiting rooms. They carry them in sleepless nights, in hypervigilance, in health conditions that emerge from years of unrelenting stress. The co-occurrence research, while not about addiction per se, adds biological texture to an already well-documented psychological reality. Families are not bystanders in the story of addiction — they are participants in a shared physiological experience, and they deserve support systems designed with that understanding.

**The Medication Safety Frontier: What Families Must Know**

There is one more dimension of the current landscape that demands attention, particularly as adolescents with substance use disorders often present with co-occurring psychiatric conditions. A 2026 Q&A from Brown University psychologists, reported in Google News, addresses what they describe as "the unintended, fatal consequences of mixing psychiatric medications" (Brown University 2026). This research speaks directly to a danger zone that families and clinicians must navigate with care: polypharmacy, or the combination of multiple psychiatric medications, carries risks that are not always communicated clearly to patients and families.

For adolescents with substance use disorders who are also receiving treatment for anxiety, depression, ADHD, or other co-occurring conditions, this is not an abstract concern. Parents sitting across from prescribers need to be empowered to ask hard questions. They need clinicians who are working from complete evidence bases — not guidelines that are missing landmark trials. They need systems that communicate risk clearly, that prioritize safety as much as symptom management.

**Toward Evidence-Based Hope**

What does it mean to face addiction with hope and understanding? At minimum, it means demanding that the hope we offer families is grounded in real science — science that is actually incorporated into the guidelines, the training, and the systems that serve them. Busch's critique of the AACAP guideline is, in this sense, an act of advocacy (Busch 2026). It says: we have better evidence than we are using. Our families deserve better than this.

Hope built on incomplete evidence is not hope — it is a placebo. It sends families toward treatments that may not work, through systems that are under-informed, at a cost — financial, emotional, physiological — that is very real.

But genuine, evidence-based hope is something different. It is the hope that says: we know more than we did. We have trials. We have data. We have school-based models that can reach young people before crisis arrives. We have an obligation to use every piece of knowledge available to us, to close the gaps between what we know and what we practice, and to stand with families in the full complexity of what they are facing.

The families are already doing the hard part. The least the science can do is show up whole.

# Works Cited

Busch. “Underrepresentation of the Cannabis Youth Treatment Trials in the AACAP Clinical Practice Guideline on Adolescent Substance Use Disorders.” Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 2026. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/42202944/.

Pilati et al.. “Expression of p53, Ki-67, sox-2, oct-4, and NANOG in the tongue and trachea of Swiss mice exposed to narghile smoke.” Journal of Applied Oral Science, 2026. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/42207129/.

Ye et al.. “Unveiling a new phenomenon: co-occurring fibromyalgia in spouses.” Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology, 2026. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/42207558/.

Brown University. “Q&A: Brown psychologists on the unintended, fatal consequences of mixing psychiatric meds.” Brown University / Google News, 2026. https://news.google.com/rss/articles/CBMib0FVX3lxTE1xdVdoVnplaVZMQjZqMVF1aXZqeXk4YkNwVXRtR0ZpNjVyREV
oc=5.

The Pew Charitable Trusts. “Schools Can Help Address Youth Mental Health and Substance Use.” The Pew Charitable Trusts / Google News, 2026. https://news.google.com/rss/articles/CBMixgFBVV95cUxNeXlVR3A2S3ZnZVpaUktkdkRManM2Q2dEcUJQMVJUYTdlU3
oc=5.